



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 1998

Approximation of infima in the calculus of variations

Brighi, B ; Chipot, M

Abstract: The goal of this paper is to give numerical estimates for some problems of the Calculus of Variations in the nonhomogeneous scalar case. The stored energy function considered is then a function $:\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We try to compare the infimum of the energy defined by $\int_{\Omega} W(x, u, \nabla u) dx$ on a Sobolev space, with the infimum of the same energy on a finite element space, in terms of the mesh size. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427\(98\)00112-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(98)00112-5)

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-22178>

Journal Article

Originally published at:

Brighi, B; Chipot, M (1998). Approximation of infima in the calculus of variations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 98(2):273-287.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427\(98\)00112-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(98)00112-5)

**APPROXIMATION OF INFIMA IN THE
CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS**

By

Bernard Brighi

and

Michel Chipot

IMA Preprint Series # 1398

March 1996

**INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA**

**514 Vincent Hall
206 Church Street S.E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455**

APPROXIMATION OF INFIMA IN THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS

Bernard BRIGHI ⁽¹⁾ and Michel CHIPOT ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾

Abstract : The goal of this paper is to give numerical estimates for some problems of the Calculus of Variations in the nonhomogeneous scalar case. The stored energy function considered is then a function $\varphi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We try to compare the infimum of the energy defined by φ on a Sobolev space, with the infimum of the same energy on a finite element space, in terms of the mesh size.

Key words : Calculus of Variations, finite elements, approximation.

Mathematics subject classifications : 26B25, 49XX, 52A20, 65N30, 65N99.

1. Introduction

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer, Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n with Lipschitz boundary and

$$\varphi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be a continuous function. Let us define $\bar{\varphi} : W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\bar{\varphi}(u) = \inf_{w \in u + W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \nabla w(x)) dx.$$

Usually, in various problems of the Calculus of Variations, one is interested in the existence of a function $v \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\bar{\varphi}(u) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \nabla v(x)) dx \quad \text{and} \quad v = u \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega.$$

⁽¹⁾ Centre d'Analyse Non Linéaire, URA-CNRS 399, Département de Mathématiques, Université de Metz, Ile du Saulcy, 57045 METZ Cedex 01 (FRANCE)

⁽²⁾ Institut für Angewandte Mathematik der Universität Zürich-Irchel, Winterthurerstr. 190, CH-8057 Zürich (SWITZERLAND)

When we look at $\bar{\varphi}(u)$, we look at a minimization problem where u is a boundary data. But the fact that φ is depending on x allows us to include the boundary data in the function φ ; more precisely, if we consider the minimization problem

$$\inf_{w \in u + W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \nabla w(x)) dx \quad (1.1)$$

and if we set $\varphi_u(x, \beta) = \varphi(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta)$ we get the following expression for (1.1)

$$\inf_{w \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_u(x, \nabla w(x)) dx.$$

This is the reason why we will just consider in the following the quantity $\bar{\varphi}(0)$.

The aim of this paper is to show that we can approach $\bar{\varphi}(0)$ by an infimum where the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ is replaced by a P_1 -finite element space $V_0^h(\Omega)$. We will give more precisely estimates for the difference $\bar{\varphi}^h(0) - \bar{\varphi}(0)$.

Such numerical estimates for problems of the Calculus of Variations - especially for some of which concerning material science and elastic crystals - were considered by many authors; see, for example, [Br.], [Br.Ch.₁], [Br.Ch.₂], [Ch.₁], [Ch.₂], [Ch.₃], [Ch.C.], [Ch.C.K.], [Ch.E.], [Ch.L.], [Ch.M.], [C.], [C.K.Lu.], [E.], [L.] and [Lu.].

We will consider the following hypothesis :

$$(H_1) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad a_1(x) + b_1|\beta|^p \leq \varphi(x, \beta) \leq a_2(x) + b_2|\beta|^p$$

$$(H_2) \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |\varphi(x, \beta) - \varphi(y, \beta)| \leq \theta_1|x - y|$$

$$(H_3) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |\varphi(x, \beta_1) - \varphi(x, \beta_2)| \leq \theta_2|\beta_1 - \beta_2|$$

where $a_1, a_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $b_2 > b_1 > 0$, $p > 1$ and $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$.

Of course, if φ satisfies some of the assumptions (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) , then φ_u does also provided u has some smoothness. See Remark 1.5 below

Remark 1.1 : By definition of $\bar{\varphi}$ it is clear that $\forall u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\forall v \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ one has

$$\bar{\varphi}(u + v) = \bar{\varphi}(u).$$

So, $\bar{\varphi}(u)$ depends only on the boundary values of u .

Remark 1.2 : Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u(x) = a \cdot x + b$.

Assume

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \varphi(x, \beta) = \psi(\beta)$$

then $\overline{\varphi}(u) = \psi^{**}(a)$, where ψ^{**} denotes the convex envelope of ψ , see [D.].

Remark 1.3 : Let us denote by φ^{**} the map of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ into \mathbb{R} such that if $x \in \Omega$, $\varphi^{**}(x, \cdot)$ is the convex envelope of $\varphi(x, \cdot)$.

- If (H_1) holds for φ , then it holds for φ^{**} also.

Indeed, it follows from the convexity of the function $\beta \longmapsto a_1(x) + b_1|\beta|^p$.

- If (H_2) holds for φ , then it holds for φ^{**} also.

Indeed, we have, for $x, y \in \Omega$ fixed, that

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \varphi(x, \beta) \leq \theta_1|x - y| + \varphi(y, \beta)$$

and thus

$$\varphi^{**}(x, \beta) \leq \theta_1|x - y| + \varphi^{**}(y, \beta).$$

Reversing x and y we get (H_2) for φ^{**} .

- If (H_3) holds for φ , then it holds for φ^{**} also.

Indeed, if $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in \Omega$, then

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \varphi(x, \beta) \leq \theta_2|\beta_1 - \beta_2| + \varphi(x, \beta + \beta_2 - \beta_1)$$

and therefore

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \varphi^{**}(x, \beta) \leq \theta_2|\beta_1 - \beta_2| + \varphi^{**}(x, \beta + \beta_2 - \beta_1).$$

Choosing $\beta = \beta_1$, we get $\varphi^{**}(x, \beta_1) - \varphi^{**}(x, \beta_2) \leq \theta_2|\beta_1 - \beta_2|$ and the result.

Remark 1.4 : If φ is such that (H_1) and (H_2) hold, then

$$\overline{\varphi} = \overline{\varphi^{**}}$$

(“Relaxation theorem” : see [D.], Cor. 2.2, ch. 5, § 5.2 p. 235).

Remark 1.5 : Let us consider $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and define as above $\varphi_u(x, \beta) = \varphi(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta)$. If ∇u is continuous then φ_u is continuous when φ is. Moreover :

- If $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and if (H_1) holds for φ , then (H_1) holds for φ_u with some other functions a'_1 and a'_2 (depending on u) and some other constants b'_1 and b'_2 .

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_u(x, \beta) &= \varphi(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta) \\ &\geq a_1(x) + b_1|\nabla u(x) + \beta|^p \\ &\geq a_1(x) - b_1|\nabla u(x)|^p + 2^{1-p}b_1|\beta|^p \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_u(x, \beta) &\leq a_2(x) + b_2 |\nabla u(x) + \beta|^p \\ &\leq a_2(x) + 2^{p-1} b_2 |\nabla u(x)|^p + 2^{p-1} b_2 |\beta|^p.\end{aligned}$$

• If $u \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$, if (H_2) and (H_3) hold for φ , then (H_2) holds for φ_u with some other constant θ'_1 (depending on u).

Indeed, it follows from (H_2) and (H_3) that

$$\begin{aligned}|\varphi_u(x, \beta) - \varphi_u(y, \beta)| &= |\varphi(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta) - \varphi(y, \nabla u(y) + \beta)| \\ &\leq |\varphi(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta) - \varphi(x, \nabla u(y) + \beta)| + |\varphi(x, \nabla u(y) + \beta) - \varphi(y, \nabla u(y) + \beta)| \\ &\leq \theta_2 |\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(y)| + \theta_1 |x - y| \\ &\leq \theta'_2 |x - y| + \theta_1 |x - y| \\ &\text{(since } u \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)\text{)} \\ &\leq \theta'_1 |x - y|.\end{aligned}$$

• If (H_3) holds for φ , then (H_3) holds for φ_u (with the same constant θ_2).

It is easy :

$$|\varphi_u(x, \beta_1) - \varphi_u(x, \beta_2)| = |\varphi(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta_1) - \varphi(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta_2)| \leq \theta_2 |\beta_1 - \beta_2|.$$

• Finally, let us prove that

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (\varphi_u)^{**}(x, \beta) = \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta) \quad (1.2)$$

Indeed, let $x \in \Omega$ be fixed. The function $\beta \mapsto \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta)$ is convex and verifies

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta) \leq \varphi(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta) = \varphi_u(x, \beta)$$

implying that

$$\varphi^{**}(x, \nabla u(x) + \cdot) \leq (\varphi_u)^{**}(x, \cdot).$$

Conversely, the function $\beta \mapsto (\varphi_u)^{**}(x, \beta - \nabla u(x))$ is convex and verifies

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (\varphi_u)^{**}(x, \beta - \nabla u(x)) \leq \varphi_u(x, \beta - \nabla u(x)) = \varphi(x, \beta)$$

implying that

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (\varphi_u)^{**}(x, \beta - \nabla u(x)) \leq \varphi^{**}(x, \beta)$$

and thus

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (\varphi_u)^{**}(x, \beta) \leq \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla u(x) + \beta).$$

So (1.2) is proved.

The following result gives some useful properties of $\bar{\varphi}$:

Theorem 1.1 : *If φ satisfies (H_1) and (H_2) , then the function $\bar{\varphi} : W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and locally Lipschitz.*

Proof : First, let us prove that $\bar{\varphi}$ is convex.

Let $u, v \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. By definition of $\bar{\varphi}$ and since $\bar{\varphi} = \overline{\varphi^{**}}$ (see Remark 1.5), there exist $u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\bar{\varphi}(u) \geq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla u(x) + \nabla u_\epsilon(x)) dx - \epsilon$$

and

$$\bar{\varphi}(v) \geq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla v(x) + \nabla v_\epsilon(x)) dx - \epsilon.$$

Then, since φ^{**} is convex, one has for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \bar{\varphi}(u) + (1 - \lambda) \bar{\varphi}(v) &\geq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \left[\lambda \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla u(x) + \nabla u_\epsilon(x)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 - \lambda) \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla v(x) + \nabla v_\epsilon(x)) \right] dx - \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{**}(x, \lambda \nabla u(x) + (1 - \lambda) \nabla v(x) + \nabla w_\epsilon(x)) dx - \epsilon$$

$$\text{where } w_\epsilon = \lambda u_\epsilon + (1 - \lambda) v_\epsilon \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega).$$

Thus

$$\lambda \bar{\varphi}(u) + (1 - \lambda) \bar{\varphi}(v) \geq \bar{\varphi}(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda) v) - \epsilon$$

and this inequality being true for all $\epsilon > 0$, we obtain the convexity of $\bar{\varphi}$.

Now, let us prove that $\bar{\varphi}$ is locally Lipschitz.

For all function $v \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ the map

$$\Psi_v : u \longmapsto \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \nabla u(x) + \nabla v(x)) dx$$

is continuous on $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, in such way that

$$\bar{\varphi} = \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \Psi_v$$

is upper semicontinuous, and so $\forall u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ there exist a neighbourhood of u where $\bar{\varphi}$ is bounded from above. Consequently, since $\bar{\varphi}$ is convex, we deduce that $\bar{\varphi}$ is locally Lipschitz on $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, (see [E.T.] Cor. 2.4, ch.I p. 12). \square

2. Approximation

From now on, we will assume that Ω is a polygonal domain. Then, let $\{\mathcal{T}_h : h > 0\}$ be a family of regular triangulation of Ω (see [R.T.]), that is to say satisfying

$$\forall h > 0 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, K \text{ is a } n\text{-simplex} \\ \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (h_K) = h \\ \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h, \quad \frac{h_K}{\rho_K} \leq \nu \quad (\nu > 0) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.1)$$

where h_K is the diameter of the n -simplex K and ρ_K its roundness (i.e. the largest diameter of the balls that could fit into K).

If $P_1(K)$ is the space of polynomials of degree 1 on K , we set

$$V^h(\Omega) = \{v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ continuous} : v|_K \in P_1(K), \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

and

$$V_0^h(\Omega) = \{v \in V^h(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

Then, we define $\bar{\varphi}^h : W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\forall u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), \quad \bar{\varphi}^h(u) = \inf_{w \in u + V_0^h(\Omega)} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \nabla w(x)) dx.$$

Now, our goal is to get estimates of $\bar{\varphi}^h(u) - \bar{\varphi}(u)$ (we know that $\bar{\varphi}^h(u) \geq \bar{\varphi}(u)$ since $W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ contains $V_0^h(\Omega)$) and in particular of $\bar{\varphi}^h(0) - \bar{\varphi}(0)$; see §1.

Remark 2.1 : It follows from (2.1) that, if $v \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, the function $v_h \in V^h(\Omega)$, that interpolates v on \mathcal{T}_h , satisfies

$$|\nabla v_h(x)| \leq C_0 |\nabla v(x)| \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad (2.2)$$

for some constant C_0 depending only on n and ν . See [Br.Ch.₂], Lemma 2.1.

Remark 2.2 : If $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $v \in V_0^h(\Omega)$, one has $\bar{\varphi}^h(u + v) = \bar{\varphi}^h(u)$.

Remark 2.3 : If $\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \varphi(x, \beta) = \psi(\beta)$, and $u(x) = a \cdot x + b$ ($a \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}$), see Remark 1.2, one will find estimates of $\bar{\varphi}^h(u) - \bar{\varphi}(u)$ in [Br.], [Br.Ch.₁], [Br.Ch.₂].

3. A preliminary estimate

For a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $co(A)$ the convex hull of A .

In this part, we will use some “saw-tooth” functions constructed in [Ch.₁]: let us recall that :

Lemma 3.1 : *Let $\omega_0, \dots, \omega_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that the dimension of the vector space W spanned by $\omega_1 - \omega_0, \dots, \omega_k - \omega_0$ is equal to k and such that $0 \in co\{\omega_0, \dots, \omega_k\}$. For each $\eta > 0$ there exists a piecewise affine function $w : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*

$$|w(x)| \leq \eta \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3.1)$$

$$\nabla w(x) \in \{\omega_0, \dots, \omega_k\} \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (3.2)$$

and if we denote by S the subset of \mathbb{R}^n where w has no derivative, then, for any bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have that

$$\text{the } (n-1)\text{-dimensional measure of } D \cap S \text{ is less than } C_1 |D| \eta^{-1} \quad (3.3)$$

where C_1 is a constant only depending on $\omega_0, \dots, \omega_k$.

Proof : See [Ch.₁], especially the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3.1 : *Let $\psi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*

- ψ is nonnegative (3.4)
- for almost all $x \in \Omega$, $\exists \omega_0(x), \dots, \omega_n(x)$ (not necessarily two by two distinct) such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & 0 \in \text{co}\{\omega_0(x), \dots, \omega_n(x)\} \\ \text{(ii)} & \forall i = 0, \dots, n \quad \psi(x, \omega_i(x)) = 0 \\ \text{(iii)} & \exists K > 0 \text{ such that } \forall x \in \Omega, \forall i = 0, \dots, n \quad |\omega_i(x)| \leq K \end{array} \right. \quad (3.5)$$

- there exist $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \geq 0$ and $p > 1$ such that $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall x, y \in \Omega$ one has

$$|\psi(x, \beta) - \psi(y, \beta)| \leq \kappa_1 |x - y| (1 + \kappa_2 |\beta|) \quad (3.6)$$

Then $\forall h > 0$, $\exists u_h \in V_0^h(\Omega)$ such that

$$|u_h|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq h^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx \leq C h^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (3.8)$$

where C is a constant depending on $\psi, \Omega, K, \kappa_1, \kappa_2$ and p .

In particular, $0 \leq \overline{\psi}^h(0) \leq C h^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

Proof : Let us denote by γ and δ some real numbers such that $0 < \gamma < \delta < 1$ (remark that for $h \ll 1$, we have $h \ll h^\delta \ll h^\gamma$).

Let us cover Ω by n -cubes $Q_1, \dots, Q_s, Q'_1, \dots, Q'_r$ of side h^γ where

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, s \quad Q_i \subset \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, r \quad Q'_j \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset.$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ let us consider $x_i \in Q_i$ satisfying (3.5). Without lost of generality, we can assume, if the dimension of the vector space W_i spanned by $\omega_1(x_i) - \omega_0(x_i), \dots, \omega_n(x_i) - \omega_0(x_i)$ is equal to k_i , that $\omega_1(x_i) - \omega_0(x_i), \dots, \omega_{k_i}(x_i) - \omega_0(x_i)$ are linearly independant.

Now, let us consider the function w_i of the Lemma 3.1 corresponding to $\eta = h^\delta$ and $D = Q_i$, and denote by v_i the function defined on Q_i by

$$x \longmapsto \max[\min(d(x, \partial Q_i); w_i(x)); -d(x, \partial Q_i)].$$

Since $v_i = 0$ on ∂Q_i , we can define on Ω a continuous function u equal to v_i on Q_i and to 0 on Q'_j . Then, let us denote by u_h the \mathcal{T}_h -interpolate of u .

First, $u_h \in V_0^h(\Omega)$. Secondly, it follows from the definition of u and (3.1) that

$$|u_h(x)| \leq h^\delta \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (3.9)$$

Third, by (3.1), (3.2), (2.2) and (3.5) (iii) we get that

$$|\nabla u_h(x)| \leq C_0 |\nabla u(x)| \leq C_2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (3.10)$$

To obtain an estimate of

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx$$

let us write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx &\leq \sum_{i=1}^s \int_{Q_i} \left| \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) - \psi(x_i, \nabla u_h(x)) \right| dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^s \int_{Q_i} \psi(x_i, \nabla u_h(x)) dx + \int_{\Omega_B} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $\Omega_B = \Omega \cap (Q'_1 \cup \dots \cup Q'_r)$, and consider separately each of the terms in the right hand side of this inequality.

- By using (3.6) and (3.10) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^s \int_{Q_i} \left| \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) - \psi(x_i, \nabla u_h(x)) \right| dx &\leq \sum_{i=1}^s \int_{Q_i} \kappa_1 |x - x_i| (1 + \kappa_2 |\nabla u_h(x)|) dx \\ &\leq \kappa_1 h^\gamma (1 + \kappa_2 C_2) \sum_{i=1}^s |Q_i| \\ &\leq C_3 |\Omega| h^\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

- Since $|\Omega_B| \leq C_4 |\partial\Omega| h^\gamma$, we have from (3.4) and (3.10) that

$$\int_{\Omega_B} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx \leq C_5 h^\gamma. \quad (3.13)$$

- For each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, we have that

$$\nabla u_h(x) \in \{\omega_0(x_i), \dots, \omega_{k_i}(x_i)\} \quad \text{a.e. in } Q_i$$

except, perhaps, on a neighbourhood $S_{i,1}$ of ∂Q_i such that

$$|S_{i,1}| \leq C_6 |\partial Q_i| (h^\delta + h) \leq C_7 |Q_i| h^{\delta-\gamma} \quad (3.14)$$

and on the set $S_{i,2}$ made of the n -simplices of \mathcal{T}_h which intersect $S_i \cap Q_i$ (with, as in the Lemma 3.1, S_i the subset of \mathbb{R}^n where w_i has no derivative); by definition of $S_{i,2}$ and (3.3) we have that

$$|S_{i,2}| \leq C_8 \frac{|Q_i|}{h^\delta} \cdot h = C_8 |Q_i| h^{1-\delta}. \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, we have from (3.6), (3.10), (3.14) and (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^s \int_{Q_i} \psi(x_i, \nabla u_h(x)) dx &= \sum_{i=1}^s \int_{S_{i,1} \cup S_{i,2}} \psi(x_i, \nabla u_h(x)) dx \\ &\leq C_9 \sum_{i=1}^s (|S_{i,1}| + |S_{i,2}|) \\ &\leq C_9 \left(\sum_{i=1}^s |Q_i| \right) (C_7 h^{\delta-\gamma} + C_8 h^{1-\delta}) \\ &\leq C_{10} |\Omega| (h^{\delta-\gamma} + h^{1-\delta}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Finally, using (3.11), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx &\leq C_{11} |\Omega| (h^\gamma + h^{\delta-\gamma} + h^{1-\delta}) \\ &\leq 3C_{11} |\Omega| h^{\min(\gamma, \delta-\gamma, 1-\delta)} \end{aligned}$$

So, by choosing $\gamma = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\delta = \frac{2}{3}$, we obtain (3.8), and (3.9) give (3.7). \square

Remark 3.1 : To compute numerically

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx$$

it seems to be natural to consider

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |K| \psi(x_K, \beta_K) dx$$

where $\beta_K = \nabla u_h|_K$ and x_K is the center of K . If ψ satisfies (3.6), we have that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx - \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |K| \psi(x_K, \beta_K) dx \right| \leq Ch.$$

4. Estimate of $\bar{\varphi}^h(0) - \bar{\varphi}(0)$

First, let us recall a geometrical lemma :

Lemma 4.1 : *Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and that*

$$\lim_{|\beta| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(\beta)}{|\beta|} = +\infty.$$

*Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, there exist $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n \in (f - f^{**})^{-1}(0)$ not necessarily two by two distinct such that*

$$\alpha \in \text{co}\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad f^{**} \text{ is affine on } \text{co}\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}$$

($\text{co}\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ could be reduce to $\{\alpha\}$).

Proof : See [Br.Ch.2].

Theorem 4.1 : *Let us assume that φ verifies (H_1) and (H_2) and that*

$$\bar{\varphi}(0) = \inf_{w \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \nabla w(x)) dx = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{**}(x, 0) dx. \quad (4.1)$$

*Moreover, suppose that $\forall x \in \Omega, \exists A(x) \in \partial \varphi^{**}(x, 0)$ verifying for some constant C_1*

$$|A(x) - A(y)| \leq C_1 |x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega. \quad (4.2)$$

Then, $\forall h > 0$,

$$0 \leq \bar{\varphi}^h(0) - \bar{\varphi}(0) \leq Ch^{1/3}$$

where C is a constant depending on φ, Ω, C_1 and the constants appearing in (H_1) and (H_2) .

Proof : (Let us recall that $\partial \varphi^{**}(x, 0)$ denotes the subdifferential of $\varphi^{**}(x, \cdot)$ at the point 0.)

Let $x \in \Omega$. Thanks to (H_1) one has

$$\lim_{|\beta| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi(x, \beta)}{|\beta|} = +\infty.$$

Thus, from the continuity of φ and the lemma 4.1, there exist $\alpha_0(x), \dots, \alpha_n(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (not necessarily pairwise distinct) such that

$$\forall i = 0, \dots, n \quad \varphi(x, \alpha_i(x)) = \varphi^{**}(x, \alpha_i(x)) \quad (4.3)$$

$$0 \in \text{co}\{\alpha_0(x), \dots, \alpha_n(x)\} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\varphi^{**}(x, \cdot) \text{ is affine on } \text{co}\{\alpha_0(x), \dots, \alpha_n(x)\}. \quad (4.5)$$

Next, set

$$\begin{aligned} g &: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (x, \beta) &\longmapsto A(x) \cdot \beta + \varphi^{**}(x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that we have in particular

$$g(x, 0) = \varphi^{**}(x, 0). \quad (4.6)$$

Now, we are going to prove that one can use the Theorem 3.1 for the function $\psi = \varphi - g$.

- First, from the continuity of φ and the definition of g , we have that $\forall x \in \Omega$, $\psi(x, \cdot)$ is continuous.
- Second, $\psi \geq 0$; indeed $\forall x \in \Omega$, $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, \beta) &= \varphi(x, \beta) - g(x, \beta) \\ &\geq \varphi(x, \beta) - \varphi^{**}(x, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

since $A(x) \in \partial\varphi^{**}(x, 0)$ means that

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad A(x) \cdot \beta \leq \varphi^{**}(x, \beta) - \varphi^{**}(x, 0). \quad (4.7)$$

Thus $\psi(x, \beta) \geq 0$.

- Third, $\forall x \in \Omega$, one has (4.4) and $\psi(x, \alpha_i(x)) = \varphi(x, \alpha_i(x)) - g(x, \alpha_i(x))$; but from (4.4) and (4.5) we deduce that $g(x, \cdot)$ and $\varphi^{**}(x, \cdot)$ coincide on $\text{co}\{\alpha_0(x), \dots, \alpha_n(x)\}$ (indeed, from (4.7) one has $\varphi^{**}(x, \cdot) \geq g(x, \cdot)$ and if $g(x, \alpha_j(x)) < \varphi^{**}(x, \alpha_j(x))$ for some j , then

$$g(x, 0) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i g(x, \alpha_i(x)) < \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \varphi^{**}(x, \alpha_i(x)) = \varphi^{**}(x, 0)$$

and this is a contradiction with (4.6). Note that, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\lambda_i > 0$.)

Consequently, by (4.3) we have that

$$\psi(x, \alpha_i(x)) = \varphi(x, \alpha_i(x)) - \varphi^{**}(x, \alpha_i(x)) = 0$$

• Next, $\forall x, y \in \Omega$, $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ one has that :

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x, \beta) - \psi(y, \beta)| &\leq |\varphi(x, \beta) - \varphi(y, \beta)| + |g(x, \beta) - g(y, \beta)| \\ &\leq \theta_1 |x - y| + |A(x) - A(y)| \cdot |\beta| + |\varphi^{**}(x, 0) - \varphi^{**}(y, 0)|. \end{aligned}$$

By using (4.2) and the Remark 1.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x, \beta) - \psi(y, \beta)| &\leq 2\theta_1 |x - y| + C_1 |x - y| |\beta| \\ &= 2\theta_1 |x - y| (1 + \frac{C_1}{2\theta_1} |\beta|). \end{aligned}$$

• Finally, it remains to show that there exists a constant $K > 0$ independent of x , such that

$$\forall i = 0, \dots, n, \forall x \in \Omega, |\alpha_i(x)| \leq K. \quad (4.8)$$

We know that $\forall x \in \Omega$, $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one has from (H_1) and the Remark 1.3

$$a_1(x) + b_1 |\beta|^p \leq \varphi^{**}(x, \beta) \leq a_2(x) + b_2 |\beta|^p$$

thus, for $\beta \neq 0$,

$$\frac{a_1(x)}{|\beta|} + b_1 |\beta|^{p-1} \leq \frac{\varphi^{**}(x, \beta)}{|\beta|}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\varphi^{**}(x, \beta)}{|\beta|} \geq b_1 |\beta|^{p-1} - \frac{|a_1|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{|\beta|}$$

and

$$\frac{\varphi^{**}(x, \beta) - \varphi^{**}(x, 0)}{|\beta|} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ uniformly with respect to } x, \text{ when } |\beta| \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Since the function $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is Lipschitz, and Ω bounded, one has $A \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$|\beta| > K \implies \varphi^{**}(x, \beta) - \varphi^{**}(x, 0) > |A|_{L^\infty} \cdot |\beta|, \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (4.9)$$

Let us suppose that there exist $x \in \Omega$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $|\alpha_i(x)| > K$. then by (4.9) we have that

$$A(x) \cdot \alpha_i(x) = \varphi^{**}(x, \alpha_i(x)) - \varphi^{**}(x, 0) > |A|_{L^\infty} \cdot |\alpha_i(x)| \quad (4.10)$$

but, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$|A|_{L^\infty} \cdot |\alpha_i(x)| \geq |A(x)| \cdot |\alpha_i(x)| \geq |A(x) \cdot \alpha_i(x)|$$

thus (4.10) implies $A(x) \cdot \alpha_i(x) > |A(x) \cdot \alpha_i(x)|$ which is impossible.

Consequently (4.8) holds.

Now, we can apply the Theorem 3.1. Therefore, $\forall h > 0$, $\exists u_h \in V_0^h(\Omega)$ such that

$$|u_h|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq h^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx \leq C_2 h^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

(where C_2 is independent of h).

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi}^h(0) &\leq \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} g(x, \nabla u_h(x)) dx \\ &\leq C_2 h^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot \nabla u_h(x) dx + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{**}(x, 0) dx \end{aligned}$$

and, since the second integral in the last inequality is equal to $\bar{\varphi}(0)$, one has

$$\bar{\varphi}^h(0) - \bar{\varphi}(0) \leq C_2 h^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot \nabla u_h(x) dx \quad (4.11)$$

Next, since $A = (A_i) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is Lipschitz, for all $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, all $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|s| < d(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ and all $x \in \Omega'$, one has

$$|A_i(x+s) - A_i(x)| \leq C_1 |s|$$

and thus $A_i \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $|\nabla A_i|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_1$ (see [B.] Prop IX.3 p. 153).

Therefore, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\Omega} A(x) \cdot \nabla u_h(x) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} A(x) u_h(x) dx \right| \quad \text{since } u_h = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\
&\leq \|u_h\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{div} A(x)| dx \\
&\leq nC_1 |\Omega| h^{\frac{2}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

and thanks to (4.11) we obtain

$$\bar{\varphi}^h(0) - \bar{\varphi}(0) \leq Ch^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where C is a constant depending on φ, Ω, C_1 and on the constants from (H_1) and (H_2) .

Now, the proof is complete. \square

Remark 4.1 : • In the previous theorem, the hypothesis (4.1) is satisfied in the following case :

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \varphi(x, \beta) \geq \varphi^{**}(x, 0). \quad (4.12)$$

Indeed, if (4.12) holds, then for $v \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \nabla v(x)) dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{**}(x, 0) dx \geq \inf_w \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla w(x)) dx = \inf_w \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \nabla w(x)) dx$$

and (4.1) follows.

Moreover, if $\varphi(x, \beta) = f(x)\psi(\beta)$ with $f \geq 0$ and

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \psi(\beta) \geq \psi^{**}(0). \quad (4.13)$$

then (4.12) holds. (Remark that, for example, the function $\psi(\beta) = (|\beta|^2 - a^2)^2$ verifies (4.13).)

• The hypothesis (4.2) holds, for instance, if $\forall x \in \Omega$, $\varphi^{**}(x, \cdot)$ has derivative at 0 and if the function $x \mapsto \frac{\partial \varphi^{**}}{\partial \beta}(x, 0)$ is Lipschitz.

Theorem 4.2 : *Let us assume that the function φ satisfies (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) , and*

$$\bar{\varphi}(0) = \inf_{w \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \nabla w(x)) dx = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla a(x)) dx \quad (4.14)$$

where $a \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$.

Moreover, suppose that $\forall x \in \Omega$, $\exists A(x) \in \partial\varphi^{**}(x, \nabla a(x))$ verifying for some constant C_1

$$\forall x, y \in \Omega, \quad |A(x) - A(y)| \leq C_1 |x - y|. \quad (4.15)$$

Then, $\forall h > 0$,

$$0 \leq \bar{\varphi}^h(0) - \bar{\varphi}(0) \leq Ch^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

where C is a constant depending on φ, Ω, C_1 , on the function a and on the constants from (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) .

Proof: In order to use the previous theorem, let us introduce the following function $\varphi_a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\forall x \in \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \varphi_a(x, \beta) = \varphi(x, \nabla a(x) + \beta).$$

We would like to show that the function φ_a satisfies the assumptions of the theorem 4.1. First, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\varphi}_a(0) &= \bar{\varphi}(a) \\ &= \bar{\varphi}(0) \text{ since } a \in W_0^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \text{ (see Remark 1.1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^{**}(x, \nabla a(x)) dx \quad \text{by (4.14)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} (\varphi_a)^{**}(x, 0) dx \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality results from (1.2).

Now, it is clear that $\forall x \in \Omega$, $A(x) \in \partial(\varphi_a)^{**}(x, 0)$ and thus, by (4.15) we have (4.2) for the function φ_a .

Finally, it remains to use the Remark 1.5 to see that (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) hold for φ_a . To conclude, we apply the theorem 4.1; and this completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. This research was supported in part by the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications with funds provided by the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES.

- [B.] H. Brezis, *Analyse fonctionnelle. Théorie et application*. Masson, Paris (1983).
- [Br.] B. Brighi, *Sur quelques problèmes de Calcul des Variations et l'approximation de leur fonctionnelle relaxée*. Thesis, University of Metz (1991).
- [Br.Ch.₁] B. Brighi and M. Chipot, *Approximation in nonconvex problems*. Progress in partial differential equations : calculus of variations, applications. C. Bandle, J. Bemelmans, M. Chipot, M. Grüter and J. Saint Jean Paulin, eds., Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series # 267, Longman Scientific & Technical (1992).
- [Br.Ch.₂] B. Brighi and M. Chipot, *Approximated convex envelope of a function*. S.I.A.M. J. Numerical Analysis, Vol 31, 1, (1994), p. 128-148.
- [Ch.₁] M. Chipot, *Numerical analysis of oscillations in nonconvex problems*. Numerische Mathematik, 59, (1991), p. 747-767.
- [Ch.₂] M. Chipot, *Numerical analysis of oscillations in two well problems*. Progress in partial differential equations : The Metz Surveys. M. Chipot & J. Saint Jean Paulin, eds., Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series # 249, Longman Scientific & Technical (1991).
- [Ch.₃] M. Chipot, *Energy estimates for Lagrange finite elements*. Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena XLIII, (1995), p. 307-316.
- [Ch.C.] M. Chipot and C. Collins, *Numerical approximation in variational problems with potential wells*. S.I.A.M. J. Numerical Analysis, Vol 29, 4, (1992), p. 1002-1019.
- [Ch.C.K.] M. Chipot, C. Collins and D. Kinderlehrer, *Numerical analysis of oscillations in multiple well problems*. Numerische Mathematik, 70, (1995), p. 259-282.
- [Ch.E.] M. Chipot and A. Elfanni, *On the numerical analysis of some variational problems with some nonhomogeneous boundary conditions*. To appear.
- [Ch.L.] M. Chipot and W. Li, *Variationnal problems with potential wells and nonhomogeneous boundary conditions*. Series on advances in mathematics for applied sciences. Vol 18, Calculus of Variations, homogenization and continuum mechanics, G. Bouchitté, G. Buttazzo and P. Suquet eds, World Scientific, (1994), p. 149-168.
- [Ch.M.] M. Chipot and S. Müller, *Sharp energy estimates to finite element approximation for non-convex problems*. To appear
- [C.] C. Collins, *Computation and analysis of twinning in cristalline solids*. Thesis, University of Minnesota, (1990).

- [C.K.Lu.] C. Collins, D. Kinderlehrer and M. Luskin, *Numerical approximation of the solution of a variational problem with a double well potential*. SIAM J. Numer. Analysis, 28, (1991), p. 321-332.
- [D.] B. Dacorogna, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*. Applied math. Sciences # 78, Springer Verlag (1989).
- [E.T.] I. Ekeland and R. Temam, *Analyse convexe et problèmes variationnels*. Dunod, Paris, (1974).
- [E.] A. Elfanni, Thesis, University of Metz. In preparation.
- [L.] W. Li, *Analyse numérique de problèmes non convexes à données au bord non linéaires*. Thesis, University of Metz, (1993).
- [Lu.] M. Luskin, *Approximation of a laminated microstructure for a rotationally invariant, double well energy density*. IMA Preprint Series # 1325, (1995).
- [R.T.] P.A. Raviart and J.M. Thomas, *Introduction à l'analyse numérique des équations aux dérivées partielles*. Masson, Paris, (1988).

#	Author/s	Title
1302	J. Zhang	A nonlinear nonlocal multi-dimensional conservation law
1303	M.E. Taylor	Estimates for approximate solutions to acoustic inverse scattering problems
1304	J. Kim & D. Sheen	A priori estimates for elliptic boundary value problems with nonlinear boundary conditions
1305	B. Engquist & E. Luo	New coarse grid operators for highly oscillatory coefficient elliptic problems
1306	A. Boutet de Monvel & I. Egorova	On the almost periodicity of solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the cantor type spectrum
1307	A. Boutet de Monvel & V. Georgescu	Boundary values of the resolvent of a self-adjoint operator: Higher order estimates
1308	S.K. Patch	Diffuse tomography modulo Graßmann and Laplace
1309	A. Friedman & J.J.L. Velázquez	Liouville type theorems for fourth order elliptic equations in a half plane
1310	T. Aktosun, M. Klaus & C. van der Mee	Recovery of discontinuities in a nonhomogeneous medium
1311	V. Bondarevsky	On the global regularity problem for 3-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations
1312	M. Cheney & D. Isaacson	Inverse problems for a perturbed dissipative half-space
1313	B. Cockburn, D.A. Jones & E.S. Titi	Determining degrees of freedom for nonlinear dissipative equations
1314	B. Engquist & E. Luo	Convergence of a multigrid method for elliptic equations with highly oscillatory coefficients
1315	L. Pastur & M. Shcherbina	Universality of the local eigenvalue statistics for a class of unitary invariant random matrix ensembles
1316	V. Jakšić, S. Molchanov & L. Pastur	On the propagation properties of surface waves
1317	J. Nečas, M. Ružička & V. Šverák	On self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations
1318	S. Stojanovic	Remarks on $W^{2,p}$ -solutions of bilateral obstacle problems
1319	E. Luo & H-O. Kreiss	Pseudospectral vs. Finite difference methods for initial value problems with discontinuous coefficients
1320	V.E. Grikurov	Soliton's rebuilding in one-dimensional Schrödinger model with polynomial nonlinearity
1321	J.M. Harrison & R.J. Williams	A multiclass closed queueing network with unconventional heavy traffic behavior
1322	M.E. Taylor	Microlocal analysis on Morrey spaces
1323	C. Huang	Homogenization of biharmonic equations in domains perforated with tiny holes
1324	C. Liu	An inverse obstacle problem: A uniqueness theorem for spheres
1325	M. Luskin	Approximation of a laminated microstructure for a rotationally invariant, double well energy density
1326	Rakesh & P. Sacks	Impedance inversion from transmission data for the wave equation
1327	O. Lafitte	Diffraction for a Neumann boundary condition
1328	E. Sobel, K. Lange, J.R. O'Connell & D.E. Weeks	Haplotyping algorithms
1329	B. Cockburn, D.A. Jones & E.S. Titi	Estimating the number of asymptotic degrees of freedom for nonlinear dissipative systems
1330	T. Aktosun	Inverse Schrödinger scattering on the line with partial knowledge of the potential
1331	T. Aktosun & C. van der Mee	Partition of the potential of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation
1332	B. Engquist & E. Luo	Convergence of the multigrid method with a wavelet coarse grid operator
1333	V. Jakšić & C.-A. Pillet	Ergodic properties of the Spin-Boson system
1334	S.K. Patch	Recursive solution for diffuse tomographic systems of arbitrary size
1335	J.C. Bronski	Semiclassical eigenvalue distribution of the non self-adjoint Zakharov-Shabat eigenvalue problem
1336	J.C. Cockburn	Bitangential structured interpolation theory
1337	S. Kichenassamy	The blow-up problem for exponential nonlinearities
1338	F.A. Grünbaum & S.K. Patch	How many parameters can one solve for in diffuse tomography?
1339	R. Lipton	Reciprocal relations, bounds and size effects for composites with highly conducting interface
1340	H.A. Levine & J. Serrin	A global nonexistence theorem for quasilinear evolution equations with dissipation
1341	A. Boutet de Monvel & R. Purice	The conjugate operator method: Application to DIRAC operators and to stratified media
1342	G. Michele Graf	Stability of matter through an electrostatic inequality
1343	G. Avalos	Sharp regularity estimates for solutions of the wave equation and their traces with prescribed Neumann data
1344	G. Avalos	The exponential stability of a coupled hyperbolic/parabolic system arising in structural acoustics
1345	G. Avalos & I. Lasiecka	A differential Riccati equation for the active control of a problem in structural acoustics
1346	G. Avalos	Well-posedness for a coupled hyperbolic/parabolic system seen in structural acoustics
1347	G. Avalos & I. Lasiecka	The strong stability of a semigroup arising from a coupled hyperbolic/parabolic system
1348	A.V. Fursikov	Certain optimal control problems for Navier-Stokes system with distributed control function
1349	F. Gesztesy, R. Nowell & W. Pötz	One-dimensional scattering theory for quantum systems with nontrivial spatial asymptotics
1350	F. Gesztesy & H. Holden	On trace formulas for Schrödinger-type operators

- 1351 **X. Chen**, Global asymptotic limit of solutions of the Cahn-Hilliard equation
- 1352 **X. Chen**, Lorenz equations, Part I: Existence and nonexistence of homoclinic orbits
- 1353 **X. Chen**, Lorenz equations Part II: "Randomly" rotated homoclinic orbits and chaotic trajectories
- 1354 **X. Chen**, Lorenz equations, Part III: Existence of hyperbolic sets
- 1355 **R. Abeyaratne, C. Chu & R.D. James**, Kinetics of materials with wiggly energies: Theory and application to the evolution of twinning microstructures in a Cu-Al-Ni shape memory alloy
- 1356 **C. Liu**, The Helmholtz equation on Lipschitz domains
- 1357 **G. Avalos & I. Lasiecka**, Exponential stability of a thermoelastic system without mechanical dissipation
- 1358 **R. Lipton**, Heat conduction in fine scale mixtures with interfacial contact resistance
- 1359 **V. Odisharia & J. Peradze**, Solvability of a nonlinear problem of Kirchhoff shell
- 1360 **P.J. Olver, G. Sapiro & A. Tannenbaum**, Affine invariant edge maps and active contours
- 1361 **R.D. James**, Hysteresis in phase transformations
- 1362 **A. Sei & W. Symes**, A note on consistency and adjointness for numerical schemes
- 1363 **A. Friedman & B. Hu**, Head-media interaction in magnetic recording
- 1364 **A. Friedman & J.J.L. Velázquez**, Time-dependent coating flows in a strip, part I: The linearized problem
- 1365 **X. Ren & M. Winter**, Young measures in a nonlocal phase transition problem
- 1366 **K. Bhattacharya & R.V. Kohn**, Elastic energy minimization and the recoverable strains of polycrystalline shape-memory materials
- 1367 **G.A. Chechkin**, Operator pencil and homogenization in the problem of vibration of fluid in a vessel with a fine net on the surface
- 1368 **M. Carme Calderer & B. Mukherjee**, On Poiseuille flow of liquid crystals
- 1369 **M.A. Pinsky & M.E. Taylor**, Pointwise Fourier inversion: A wave equation approach
- 1370 **D. Brandon & R.C. Rogers**, Order parameter models of elastic bars and precursor oscillations
- 1371 **H.A. Levine & B.D. Sleeman**, A system of reaction diffusion equations arising in the theory of reinforced random walks
- 1372 **B. Cockburn & P.-A. Gresh**, A priori error estimates for numerical methods for scalar conservation laws. Part II: Flux-splitting monotone schemes on irregular Cartesian grids
- 1373 **B. Li & M. Luskin**, Finite element analysis of microstructure for the cubic to tetragonal transformation
- 1374 **M. Luskin**, On the computation of crystalline microstructure
- 1375 **J.P. Matos**, On gradient young measures supported on a point and a well
- 1376 **M. Nitsche**, Scaling properties of vortex ring formation at a circular tube opening
- 1377 **J.L. Bona & Y.A. Li**, Decay and analyticity of solitary waves
- 1378 **V. Isakov**, On uniqueness in a lateral cauchy problem with multiple characteristics
- 1379 **M.A. Kouritzin**, Averaging for fundamental solutions of parabolic equations
- 1380 **T. Aktosun, M. Klaus & C. van der Mee**, Integral equation methods for the inverse problem with discontinuous wavespeed
- 1381 **P. Morin & R.D. Spies**, Convergent spectral approximations for the thermomechanical processes in shape memory alloys
- 1382 **D.N. Arnold & X. Liu**, Interior estimates for a low order finite element method for the Reissner-Mindlin plate model
- 1383 **D.N. Arnold & R.S. Falk**, Analysis of a linear-linear finite element for the Reissner-Mindlin plate model
- 1384 **D.N. Arnold, R.S. Falk & R. Winther**, Preconditioning in $H(\text{div})$ and applications
- 1385 **M. Lavrentiev**, Nonlinear parabolic problems possessing solutions with unbounded gradients
- 1386 **O.P. Bruno & P. Laurence**, Existence of three-dimensional toroidal MHD equilibria with nonconstant pressure
- 1387 **O.P. Bruno, F. Reitich, & P.H. Leo**, The overall elastic energy of polycrystalline martensitic solids
- 1388 **M. Fila & H.A. Levine**, On critical exponents for a semilinear parabolic system coupled in an equation and a boundary condition
- 1389 **J.M. Berg, W.G. Frazier, A. Chaudhary, & S.S. Banda**, Optimal open-loop ram velocity profiles for isothermal forging: A variational approach
- 1390 **J.M. Berg & H.G. Kwatny**, Unfolding the zero structure of a linear control system
- 1391 **A. Sei**, High order finite-difference approximations of the wave equation with absorbing boundary conditions: A stability analysis
- 1392 **A.V. Coward & Y.Y. Renardy**, Small amplitude oscillatory forcing on two-layer plane channel flow
- 1393 **V.A. Pliss & G.R. Sell**, Approximation dynamics and the stability of invariant sets
- 1394 **J.G. Cao & P. Roblin**, A new computational model for heterojunction resonant tunneling diode
- 1395 **C. Liu**, Inverse obstacle problem: Local uniqueness for rougher obstacles and the identification of a ball
- 1396 **K.A. Pericak-Spector & S.J. Spector**, Dynamic cavitation with shocks in nonlinear elasticity
- 1397 **G. Avalos & I. Lasiecka**, Exponential stability of a thermoelastic system without mechanical dissipation II: The case of simply supported boundary conditions
- 1398 **B. Brighi & M. Chipot**, Approximation of infima in the calculus of variations